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ABSTRACT:
Human actions are changing the Amazon’s landscape by clearing tropical forest and replacing it mainly by pasturelands. The focus of this work is to assess the changes in the Itacaiúnas River watershed; an area located in the southeastern Amazon region, near Carajás, one of the largest mining provinces of the World. We used a Landsat imagery dataset to map and detect land covers (forest and montane savanna) and land use (pasturelands, mining and urban) changes from 1984 to 2013. We employed standard image processing techniques in conjunction with visual interpretation and geographic object-based classification. Land covers and land use (LCLU) “from-to” change detection approach was carried out to recognize the trajectories of LCLU classes based on object change detection analysis. We observed that ~47% (~1.9 million ha) of forest kept unchanged; almost 41% (~1.7 million ha) of changes was associated to conversion from forest to pasture, while 8% (~333,000 ha) remained unchanged pasture. The conversion of forest and montane savannah to mining area represents only 0.24% (~9,000 ha). We can conclude that synergy of visual interpretation to discriminate fine level objects with low contrast associated to urban, mining and savanna classes; and automatic classification of coarse level objects related to forest and pastureland classes is most successfully than use these methods individually. In essence, this approach combines the advantages of the human quality interpretation and quantitative computing capacity.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a global and regional context, land covers and land use (LCLU) changes studies constitute a broad field in terms of the diversity of remote sensing methods available to map and monitor the different types of human-driven changes in the environments. However, long term and continuous mapping of distinct land cover classes in a tropical and subtropical watershed is uncommon (Shi et al., 2011). Nevertheless, supervised pixel-based classification algorithm has been widely used for land cover mapping and change detection, while geographic object-based image analysis (GEOBIA) literature is increasing rapidly (Blaschke, 2010). During the last few decades, the “per-pixel” classification approach has progressively been criticized due to be centered only in the digital number (i.e. brightness value) in each pixel of the digital image (Blaschke et al., 2014) and because it does not explore sufficiently the spatial concept of neighborhood, proximity or homogeneity (Burnett and Blaschke, 2003). However, image-objects are composed of pixel groups with similar data values, which retains an intrinsic size, shape, and geographic relationship with the real-world landscape (Hay et al., 2001). Object-based approach has some advantages over the pixel-based classification, such as remove “salt-and pepper” effects and a large set of features (e.g. objects generated from spectral, spatial and textural properties of a group of pixels) can be originated as additional information to improve image classification accuracy (Liu and Xia, 2010).

In the previous studies with GEOBIA, a vast majority has been developed using high spatial resolution imagery (Blaschke, 2010). Few studies about LCLU changes were developed using moderate spatial resolution and long-term imageries carried out from GEOBIA (Desclée et al., 2006; Duveiller et al., 2008; Lyons et al., 2012).

In this study, we employed a standard image processing techniques in conjunction with manual interpretation and geographic object-based classification. The aims of this paper is to present a combined object-based classification and manual interpretation methodology for a quantitative assessment of LCLU changes and recognize the spatio-temporal trajectories of LCLU classes in the Itacaiúnas River watershed in the Amazon Region from 1984 to 2013 (Figure 1).

2. DATASET AND METHODS

2.1 Remote sensing dataset and field data collection

Fifteen Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) and five Operational Land Imager (OLI) images were used in this work. Landsat images were downloaded from USGS Earth-Explorer website (http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov) and acquired in Level 1 Terrain (LIT) format. The images were orthorectified to the UTM cartographic projection, in WGS84 datum (Irons and Leveland, 2013). Fieldworks were carried out in April and May 2014 to recognize LCLU classes using panoramic digital photographs and ground control points (GCPs), which were acquired using a Differential Global Position System (DGPS). We collected 1,060 GCPs along approximately 2,400 km of roads to validate the 2013 Landsat-8 OLI mosaic image classification (Figure 1).
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During the process of visual image analysis and fieldwork data collection, we recognized patterns and patches in the landscape observed in the color composition images. Hence, it was possible to identify three land covers (forest, montane savanna and water bodies) and three land-use types (pasturelands, mining and urban areas).

2.2 Digital image processing

The 2013 Landsat-8 OLI images were converted to the Top of Atmosphere (TOA) reflectance (USGS, 2012). The 2004, 1994 and 1984 Landsat-5 TM images were converted to ground reflectance in percentage through ATCOR algorithm of the PCI Geomatica 2013 software. For each mosaic Landsat date, we derived the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index - NDVI (Tarpley et al., 1984) and band ratio 6/4 of Landsat-8 OLI and 5/3 of Landsat-5 TM (Gad and Kusky, 2006). Later, we generated mosaics of Landsat images from each year (1984 Landsat-5 TM, 1994 Landsat-5 TM, 2004 Landsat-5 TM, and 2013 Landsat-8 OLI) to quantify human-driven changes in the landscape. The mosaics presented 30 m in pixel size to UTM 22S zone projection and datum WGS84. Clipping subset of mosaic was carried out from shape file containing the boundary of Itacaiúnas River watershed.

2.3 Geographic object-based image analysis - GEOBIA

The multi-resolution classification of LCLU classes was based on combined manual interpretation and automatic classification synergy from GEOBIA. As mining, urban and montane savanna classes were represented for a small amount of segments, we use a manually editing thematic vector objects technique. The eCognition software provides this possibility, allowing defines the thematic region of interest before automatic image classification. In essence, this technique combined the advantages of semi-automated fine level object generation and classification with the visual human interpretation (Benz et al., 2004; Lang et al., 2009). Afterwards, a rule set was established to automatically classify objects associated with forest, bare soil, pasturelands, and water bodies.

The segmentation process included three user-defined parameters: i) the spectral parameter \( w_{SP} = 0.5 \) trading spectral homogeneity versus object shape, is included in order to obtain spectrally homogenous objects; ii) the compactness parameter \( w_{CP} = 0.5 \) trading compactness versus smoothness, adjusts the objects shape between compact objects and smooth boundaries, and iii) the scale parameter \( h_{SC} = 50 \), to obtain image segmentation with a minimum object size (equal to the Minimum Mapping Unit - MMU) of 2.5 ha. An overview of the steps of manual editing and object-based image analysis is given in Figure 2.

Figure 1. Location map of the study site illustrated in the 2013 land cover and land use map generated from interpretation of the Landsat-8 OLI images. Green = forest, orange = montane savanna, belge = pastureland, red = mining area, grey = urban area, blue line = main rivers, thin black line = secondary rives, bold line = watershed boundary.

Figure 2. Empirc GEOBIA workflow that illustrates the principles of the segmentation: i) remote sensing data set analysis, ii) multi-date segmentation, iii) manual image object editing, iv) classification process based on segmentation, v) image classification per class, and vi) merging and quantifying classification, which incorporates GIScience concepts. During the automated classification process, we adopted the membership functions to describe specific properties of the objects. The selection of objects was assisted by analysis of separability of the comparable classes. Each class was classified separately in the domain of image object level, using the class filter “unclassified”, according the following order: i) mining, urban and montane savanna classes (manual editing); ii) black water bodies; iii) whitewater bodies; iv) bare soil; v) pasture/croplands; vi) forest. Posteriorly, black-white water bodies and bare soil-pasture/croplands were grouped in two classes - water bodies and pasturelands - through merge region algorithm.

2.4 Object-based change detection analysis

Land cover and land use “from-to” change detection approach (Lu et al., 2013) was carried out to recognize the trajectories of LCLU classes based on object change detection analysis from 1984 to 2013. We identified five unchanged classes (forest, montane savanna, urban, pasture and mining) to understand their possible change trajectories, related to conversion “from-to” of forest-pastureland, forest-mine, forest-urban, montane savanna-mine, pasture-forest, and pasture-urban. The Figure 3 illustrates the conceptual model, which recognize trajectories of LCLU changes over time in the study site.
2.5 Classification accuracy assessment of LCLU classes

We initially assessed the quality of image segmentation from a visual evaluation of classified images. Later, we used 1,060 GCPs collected during the fieldwork to run the classification accuracy assessment of 2013 Landsat-8 OLI image. As we have no older thematic map available, we used selected objects generated from image segmentation to produce thematic class map based on stratified random sampling. Accuracy assessment of 2013 Landsat-8 OLI image classification were undertaken using confusion matrices, Kappa index (Congalton and Green, 2009) and Tau statistics (Ma and Redmond, 1995).

Figure 3. Conceptual model of trajectories of land cover and land use changes over time in the Itacaiúnas River watershed.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Accuracy assessment

The results indicated that overall accuracy, kappa and Tau index for mapping forest, montane savanna, pastureland, mining, urban and water bodies classification were higher than 0.89, using 2013 Landsat-8 OLI imagery as reference data (Table 1). Producer’s accuracy indicates which segments were correctly identified according reference data (GCPs). All classes have producer’s accuracy higher than 90%, with emphasis in pastureland (98.63%), montane savanna (97.92%) and urban areas (95.06%). The higher omission’s errors occurred between forest and pastureland. This confusion can be explained due to regeneration of small patches of secondary forest in pasture areas. In relation to user’s accuracy, the segments or value of the accuracy assessment site were derived from the map. Four classes have user’s accuracy higher that 97% (forest, montane savanna, pastureland and water bodies), while the higher commission’s errors were observed in mining (10.45%) and urban areas (7.23%). Four GCPs of forest were commissioned as mining due to vegetation suppression between remote sensing imagery time (August 2013) and GCPs collection (April 2014). Five GCPs of pastureland were commissioned as urban areas due to similarities in spectral responses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land cover and land use classes</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>Raw total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column total</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error Omission (%)</td>
<td>9.28</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>1022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error Commission (%)</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producer’s accuracy</td>
<td>90.72</td>
<td>97.92</td>
<td>98.63</td>
<td>92.31</td>
<td>95.06</td>
<td>92.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User’s accuracy</td>
<td>97.24</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97.01</td>
<td>89.55</td>
<td>89.55</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa per Class</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall accuracy</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Confusion matrix of the GEOBIA 2013 Landsat-8 OLI classification. The matrix shows the number of verification points, omission’s and commission’s errors, user’s and producer’s accuracy, Kappa index per class, overall accuracy, general Kappa index and Tau index. F = forest, MS = montane savanna, P = pastureland, M = mining, U = urban, W = water.

Table 2B and 2C shows specifically the change analysis associated to conversion from forest and montane savanna to different land use types. Over time, forest was converted mainly to pasture, and in minor proportion to mining and urban areas. Between 1984 and 2013, 53% of the forest remained unchanged, while in 46% of entire watershed area we testified the conversion of forest to pasture, which represent a deforestation of ~1.7 million ha. Less than 9,000 ha of forest was converted to mining and approximately 6,000 ha were converted to urban areas. With respect to conversion of montane savanna, its change trajectory was exclusively related to mining. During the entire period, ~1,400 ha of montane savanna were converted to mining, which represent ~13% of the total savanna area.

3.2 Land cover and land use “from-to” change detection

Figure 4 illustrates the LCLU unchanged and “from-to” change detection classes based on a bi-temporal mosaic image analysis. The change detection between 1984 and 1994 indicated that unchanged forest was the largest class with almost 2.8 millions ha (68% of the study area). The conversion from forest class in 1984 to pasture class in 1994 reached an area of 800,000 ha, while unchanged pasture encompassed ~300,000 ha (Table 2A). Between 1994 and 2004, the unchanged forest class represented around 2 millions ha, while unchanged pasture attained ~1 million ha. The conversion from forest to pasture in this period kept the same intensity of ~800,000 ha. The change detection between 2004 and 2013 is marked by an accentuated decrease in the LCLU changes, with unchanged forest and unchanged pasture occupying an area of approximately 1.8 and 1.7 million ha, respectively, which demonstrates that no change occurred in around ~85% of the study site. The conversion from forest to pasture was reduced to ~300,000 ha, while forest recovery from pasture reached the maximum intensity (~140,000 ha). Between 1984-2013, we could observe that ~47% (~1.9 million ha) of forest kept unchanged; almost 41% (~1.7 million ha) of changes was associated to conversion from forest to pasture, while 8% (~333,000 ha) remained unchanged pasture. The conversion of forest and montane savanna to mining area represents only 0.24% (~9,000 ha). The area and percentage of unchanged and “from-to” change detection between one class to another can be observed in the Table 2A.
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Figure 4. Quantification of land cover and land use changes between 1984-1994, 1994-2004, 2004-2013 and 1984-2013, from “from-to” object detection approach.

A) Table 2. A summary of land cover and land use change trajectories from object based approach. A) Quantification of LCLUC between 1984-1994, 1994-2004, 2004-2013 and 1984-2013; B) Total forest and C) Total montane savanna unchanged and “from-to” change detection over years. Area in 1,000 hectares. F = forest, MS = montane savanna, P = pastureland, M = mining, U = urban, UF = unchanged forest, UM = unchanged mine, UMS = unchanged montane savanna, UP = unchanged pastureland, UU = unchanged urban, Un = unclassified, TF = total forest, TMS = total montane savanna.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3,619</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,845</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,112</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,318</td>
<td>77.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,046</td>
<td>71.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,807</td>
<td>75.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>792</td>
<td>21.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>796</td>
<td>27.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>12.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. DISCUSSION

The methodological approach used in the study case allowed to combine the advantages of visual human interpretation of a segmented image to recognize and define specific classes (e.g., mining and urban areas), as well as automated fine level object classification (e.g., forest, bare-soil, pasture-agriculture and water bodies).

The use of multi-date remote sensing data for generating image objects is one of the most important aspect for object-based image analysis (Blaschke et al., 2014). As image objects can be considered as segments, at least from the point of view segmentation algorithm (Castilha and Hay, 2008), delineating
segments from image objects reduces the processing time of image analysis and therefore limits the cost of the remote sensing and GIS processes, mainly when related to regional scale. According Lang et al. (2009), this approach shows how superior aggregation capabilities of the human brain can be combined with the fine spatial segmentation and classification. Furthermore, the combination of the object analysis level, the nearest neighbor classifier, and expert knowledge provides the highest classification accuracy in comparing to per-pixel classification approach (Platt and Rapoza, 2008). A similar result was found for mapping tropical savanna in Australia using object-based classification (Whiteside et al., 2011).

Hence, this paper proves strong evidence in favor of this assertive. High values of overall accuracy, Kappa and Tau index were got in the accuracy assessment analysis, indicating a close correspondence between the object-based image classification output and the fieldwork observations. Moreover, the obtained results suggest that GEOBIA can be used to extract LCLU changes information from moderate spatial-resolution satellite imagery, such as Landsat images time series, in climatological (moist tropical and tropical seasonal climate) and ecological (tropical rainforest and savannah) transition zone in the Brazilian Amazon.

The deforestation process observed in 1980’s and 1990’s decades is associated with the opening of road in the southeastern Amazon region (Laurence et al., 2009; Barber et al., 2014). Another point is that the deforestation occurred preferentially in larger properties with >500 ha dominated by large and very large landholders, whose properties are more concentrated in older areas that have better infrastructure, such as roads, and thus are connected to markets (Godar et al., 2014).

5. CONCLUSION

The synergy of visual interpretation to discriminate fine level objects with low contrast associated to urban, mining and montane savannah classes and automatic classification of coarse level objects related to forest and pastureland classes is most successfully than use these methods individually. In essence, this approach combines the advantages of the human quality interpretation and quantitative computing capacity. We can conclude that there is a strong negative relation between deforestation processes and formation of new landscape dominated by pastureland in the Itacaiúnas River watershed.
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